- HOPPE, W., GASSMANN, J. & ZECHMEISTER, K. (1970). In *Crystallographic Computing*, pp. 22–36. Edited by F. R. AHMED. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- HUTTNER, G. & LANGE, S. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 2049–2060.
- IEEE Transactions (1967). AU-15, No. 2, Special issue on the FFT.
- IEEE Transactions (1969). AU-17, No. 2, Special issue on the FFT.
- LANGE, S., STOLLE, U. & HUTTNER, G. (1972). FFT3X-Ein Programm zur schnellen Fouriertransformation röntgenographischer Daten. TU München. Copies and descriptions are available upon request.
- MARKEL, J. D. (1971). *IEEE Trans.* AU-19, No. 4, 305–311.

- ROLLETT, J. S. (1965). In *Computing Methods in Crystallography*, pp. 82–88. Edited by J. S. ROLLETT. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- SINGLETON, R. C. (1968a). Commun. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 11, No. 11, 773-776.
- SINGLETON, R. C. (1968b). Commun. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 11, No. 11, 776–779.
- SINGLETON, R. C. (1969). *IEEE Trans.* AU-17, No. 2, 93-103.
- STOUT, G. H. & JENSEN, L. H. (1968), p. 246. X-ray Structure Determination. New York: Macmillan.

TELEFUNKEN (1971). TR 440-Programmbeschreibung ALGOL. Konstanz. Beschr. Nr. N 31. F2. 11, S. 4-5.

- WASER, J. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 595.
- WELLS, M. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 173-179.

Acta Cryst. (1973). A29, 449

The Theory of the Spin-Density Patterson Function

BY C. WILKINSON

Oueen Elizabeth College, University of London, Campden Hill Road, London W8 7AH, England

(Received 18 December 1972; accepted 11 February 1973)

A general treatment is given of the derivation of a spin-density Patterson function from unpolarized neutron-diffraction data, showing that a peak in the function due to atoms m and n with spins S^m , S^n has a height proportional to S^m . S^n and is elongated in a direction which bisects these spin directions. The elongation is not appreciably affected even when the spin-density distributions of the atoms are highly aspherical.

Introduction

The essential features of the spin-density Patterson function have been described in a previous paper (Wilkinson, 1968). The purpose of the present paper is to provide a more precise treatment of certain parts of theory in which approximations were previously made. The same notation will again be used.

The Patterson function Q'(u) calculated from unpolarized neutron-diffraction intensities from a single crystal is given by

$$Q'(\mathbf{u}) = Q(\mathbf{u}) - R(\mathbf{u})$$

where $Q(\mathbf{u})$ is the scalar-product autocorrelation function of the spin density:

$$Q(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{1-3}^{i} \sum_{1-3}^{j} \mathscr{S}_{i}(\mathbf{r}) * \mathscr{S}_{j}(-\mathbf{r}) .$$

 $R(\mathbf{u})$ is the sum of the convolutions of the Fourier transform of the appropriate pairs of scattering-vector direction-cosine products with terms in the summation for $Q(\mathbf{u})$ and is

$$R(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{1-3}^{l} \sum_{1-3}^{j} (\overline{k_i k_j}) * [\mathscr{S}_i(\mathbf{r}) * \mathscr{S}_j(-\mathbf{r})].$$

In the previous theory for the evaluation of $R(\mathbf{u})$ it was assumed that the form factors for all magnetic atoms in the structure could be represented by the function $\exp(-p^2k^2)$. The present treatment shows that this is an unnecessary approximation, but that the main features of the result (*i.e.* Patterson peak height proportional to the scalar product of component spins, elongation of peak in direction bisecting spin directions) remain unchanged. Expressions for $R(\mathbf{u})$ and $Q(\mathbf{u})$ are derived for two and three-dimensional data in Appendices 1 and 2.

Q'(u) for a zone of data measured out to $|\mathbf{k}| = k_0$

In Appendix 1 it is shown that for two-dimensional data the Patterson peak due to atoms m and n which are separated by a distance u^{mn} is

$$Q'(\mathbf{u}^{mn} + \mathbf{x}) = \underset{k_0 \to \infty}{\text{Lt}} \{\pi k_0^2 (\Lambda_1(2\pi rk_0) \times [S_{\perp}^m S_{\perp}^n + \frac{1}{2} S_{\parallel}^m S_{\parallel}^n \cos(\omega_m - \omega_n) - S_{\parallel}^m S_{\parallel}^n \cos(2\alpha - (\omega_m + \omega_n))] + \Omega(2\pi rk_0) S_{\parallel}^m S_{\parallel}^n \cos(2\alpha - (\omega_m + \omega_n)) \\ * (\hat{\mathscr{P}}^m(\mathbf{r}) * \hat{\mathscr{P}}^n(-\mathbf{r})) \}.$$

The component functions in this expression require some explanation.

(i) The quantity $\hat{\mathscr{G}}^m(\mathbf{r}) * \hat{\mathscr{G}}^n(-\mathbf{r})$ is similar to that which appears in the expression for Patterson peaks calculated from X-ray intensities. In the two-dimensional case it represents the convolution of the pro-

jected spin-density distributions associated with atoms m and n and will in future be abbreviated by $\sigma^{mn}(\mathbf{r})$. It is usually almost spherically symmetric and is relatively insensitive to aspherical parts in $\hat{\mathscr{G}}^m(\mathbf{r})$ and $\hat{\mathscr{G}}^n(\mathbf{r})$.

(ii) The function $\Lambda_1(2\pi rk)$ is illustrated in Fig. 1. It may be shown that $\int_{\text{all space}} \pi k_0^2 \Lambda_1(2\pi rk_0) \, d\tau_r \equiv 1$ for any k_0 . Thus when k_0 is very large (data from all reciprocal space are included) and $\Lambda_1(2\pi rk_0)$ is consequently sharply peaked at the origin of real space, the convolution involving Λ_1 gives

$$\begin{array}{l} \underset{k_{0}\rightarrow\infty}{\text{Lt}} \left\{ \pi k_{0}^{2}\mathcal{A}_{1}(2\pi rk_{0}) \left[S_{\perp}^{m}S_{\perp}^{n} + \frac{1}{2}S_{\parallel}^{m}S_{\parallel}^{n}\cos\left(\omega_{m}-\omega_{n}\right) \right. \\ \left. - S_{\parallel}^{m}S_{\parallel}^{n}\cos\left(2\alpha-(\omega_{m}+\omega_{n})\right) \right] * \sigma^{mn}(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \\ = \left[S_{\perp}^{m}S_{\perp}^{n} + \frac{1}{2}S_{\parallel}^{m}S_{\parallel}^{n}\cos\left(\omega_{m}-\omega_{n}\right) \right] \sigma^{mn}(\mathbf{x}) . \end{array}$$

This contribution to $Q'(\mathbf{u}^{mn} + \mathbf{x})$ is therefore an approximately spherically symmetric function of \mathbf{x} .

(iii) Similar simplification of the term involving $\Omega(2\pi rk)$ [$\Omega(2\pi rk)$ is shown in Fig. 1] is not possible as the integral $\int_{\text{all space}} \pi k_0^2 \Omega(2\pi rk_0) d\tau_r$ is not bounded. However, certain features of this term may be noted. It depends upon the product of the planar components of \mathbf{S}^m and \mathbf{S}^n . It is highly asymmetric with a cos 2α -type dependence, the positive lobes of the function making an angle $\alpha = (\omega_m + \omega_n)/2$ with axis 1. The radial distribution of the function depends upon the precise nature of $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ but has value zero at $\mathbf{x}=0$ and falls at large $|\mathbf{x}|$ as $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ falls off with $|\mathbf{r}|$. The type of spatial variation to be expected from this term is shown in Fig. 2. The $\sigma^{mn}(\mathbf{r})$ used in this case was the autocorrelation function for a Gaussian distribution $\hat{\mathscr{S}}(\mathbf{r})$.

The overall expression for $Q'(\mathbf{u}^{mn} + \mathbf{x})$ is therefore

$$Q'(\mathbf{u}^{mn} + \mathbf{x}) = [S_{\perp}^{m} S_{\perp}^{n} + \frac{1}{2} S_{\parallel}^{m} S_{\parallel}^{n} \cos(\omega_{m} - \omega_{n})] \sigma^{mn}(\mathbf{x})$$

+
$$\lim_{k_{0} \to \infty} \{S_{\parallel}^{m} S_{\parallel}^{n} \pi k_{0}^{2} \Omega(2\pi r k_{0})$$

×
$$\cos [2\alpha - (\omega_{m} + \omega_{n})]\} * \sigma^{mn}(\mathbf{r})$$

and its main features are

(a) A peak height $S_{\perp}^{m}S_{\perp}^{n} + \frac{1}{2}S_{\parallel}^{m}S_{\parallel}^{n} \cos(\omega_{m} - \omega_{n})\sigma^{mn}(0)$.

(b) A peak elongation in the direction of the bisector of the angle between S^m and S^n .

 $[\sigma^{mn}(0)$ varies with the electron distributions of the atoms *m*, *n* but is usually ~0.75 for 3*d* electrons.]

$Q'(\mathbf{u})$ for three-dimensional data

In Appendix 2 it is shown that for three-dimensional data

$$Q'(\mathbf{u}^{mn} + \mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{Lt}_{k_0 \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{4\pi k_0^3}{3} \left[(\Phi(2\pi k_0 r) - \Theta(2\pi k_0 r)) \mathbf{S}^m \cdot \mathbf{S}' + \{ 3\Theta(2\pi k_0 r) - \Phi(2\pi k_0 r) \} \mathbf{S}^m \mathbf{S}^n (\hat{\mathbf{S}}^m \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \right] \times (\hat{\mathbf{S}}^n \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \right\} \times \sigma^{mn}(\mathbf{r}) .$$

The functions $\Theta(2\pi k_0 r)$ and $\Phi(2\pi k_0 r)$ are shown in Fig. 3. $\sigma^{mn}(\mathbf{r})$ is again very nearly spherically symmetric, despite asphericities in $\hat{\mathscr{S}}^m(\mathbf{r})$ and $\hat{\mathscr{S}}^n(\mathbf{r})$. This is illustrated in Fig. 4 by the {100} section of $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ for the self convolution of an Fe²⁺³d e_q electron-density



Fig. 1. The functions $\Lambda_1(z)$ and $\Omega(z)$ (dashed curve).



Fig. 2. Contribution to a 2D Patterson peak of the term Lt $\Omega(2\pi k_0 r) \{\cos [2\alpha - (\omega_m + \omega_n)]\} * \{\hat{\mathscr{G}}^m(\mathbf{r}) * \hat{\mathscr{G}}^m(-\mathbf{r})\}$ $k_0 \to \infty$

for a Gaussian distribution $\widehat{\mathscr{S}}^m(\mathbf{r})$. Contours are drawn at intervals of 0.05. The zero contour is omitted.

distribution. Also shown is the same section of the e_g density function. It can be seen that the asphericity is considerably reduced by the convolution. The convolution was performed by transforming the square of the e_g form factor, to which an analytic approximation was made by use of the j_0 and j_4 functions fitted by Lisher & Forsyth (1971) to the calculations of Watson & Freeman (1961).



Fig. 3. The functions $\Phi(z)$ and $\Theta(z)$ (dashed curve).

The first term in the expression for $Q'(\mathbf{u}^{mn} + \mathbf{x})$ is therefore nearly spherically symmetric, while the second term is highly aspherical and produces a peak elongation along the bisector of spin direction \mathbf{S}^m and \mathbf{S}^n . It can be shown that at $\mathbf{x} = 0$ the expression reduces to $Q'(\mathbf{u}^{mn}) = \frac{2}{3}\mathbf{S}^m$. $\mathbf{S}^n \sigma^{mn}(0) [\sigma^{mn}(0) \sim 0.71$ for 3d electronsl.

Discussion and conclusions

The present more rigorous derivation of expressions for the forms of peaks in a spin-density Patterson distribution function has shown the conclusions of the original paper to be correct. The heights of the Patterson peaks are $\frac{2}{3}S^m \cdot S^n \sigma^{mn}(0)$ [3D] or $(S^m \cdot S^n + \frac{1}{2}S^m_{\parallel} \cdot S^n_{\parallel})\sigma^{mn}(0)$ [2D], with $\sigma^{mn}(0)$ usually ~0.7. The elongation of peaks is in the direction of the bisector of the spin directions $S^m \cdot S^n$ (or $S^m_{\parallel} \cdot S^n_{\parallel}$), as $\sigma^{mn}(\mathbf{r})$ is normally an almost spherically symmetric function of \mathbf{r} . Convolution of highly aspherical electron-density distributions has shown that the resultant $\sigma^{mn}(\mathbf{r})$ is almost spherically symmetric and therefore the direction of the peak elongation will not be affected by such asphericities.

APPENDIX 1

Q'(u) for a zone of data

The Patterson function $Q'(\mathbf{u})$ is given by

$$Q'(\mathbf{u}) = Q(\mathbf{u}) - R(\mathbf{u})$$

where

$$R(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{1-2}^{i} \sum_{1-2}^{j} (\overline{\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j}) * [\mathscr{S}_i(\mathbf{r}) * \mathscr{S}_j(\mathbf{r})]$$

and $\mathscr{S}_i(\mathbf{r})$, $\mathscr{S}_j(\mathbf{r})$ are the components on the *i*th and *j*th axis of the projected spin-density distribution.



Fig. 4. (a) {100} section of the self convolution of an Fe²⁺ ion with a completely $e_g 3d$ electron-density distribution. Contours are drawn at intervals of 0.156 e² Å⁻³. The zero contour is omitted. (b) {100} section of the e_g density function. Contours are drawn at intervals of 0.156 e Å⁻³. The zero contour is omitted.

Now

$$\overline{\hat{k}_1 \hat{k}_1} = \underset{k_0 \to \infty}{\text{Lt}} \int_0^{k_0} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2(\alpha + \theta) \exp(2\pi i r k \cos \theta) k dk d\theta$$
$$= \underset{k_0 \to \infty}{\text{Lt}} \frac{\pi k_0^2}{2} \left[\Lambda_1 (2\pi k_0 r) (1 + \cos 2\alpha) + \Omega (2\pi k_0 r) \cos 2\alpha \right]$$

where

$$\Lambda_1(z) = \frac{J_1(z)}{(z/2)}, \quad \Omega(z) = \frac{1 - J_0(z)}{(z/2)^2}$$

while α is the angle between **r** and axis 1 and θ is the angle between **r** and **k**. Similarly

$$\overline{\hat{k_2k_2}} = \operatorname{Lt}_{k_0 \to \infty} \frac{\pi k_0^2}{2} \left[\Lambda_1(2\pi k_0 r) \left(1 - \cos 2\alpha \right) \right. \\ \left. + \Omega(2\pi k_0 r) \cos 2\alpha \right]$$

and

$$\overline{\hat{k}_1 \hat{k}_2} = \overline{\hat{k}_2 \hat{k}_1} = \underset{k_0 \to \infty}{\text{Lt}} \frac{\pi k_0^2}{2} \left[\Lambda_1(2\pi k_0 r) \sin 2\alpha - \Omega(2\pi k_0 r) \cos 2\alpha \right].$$

Combining these terms gives

$$R(\mathbf{u}^{mn} + \mathbf{x}) = \underset{k_0 \to \infty}{\text{Lt}} \frac{\pi k_0^2}{2} S_{\parallel}^m S_{\parallel}^n \{ \Lambda_1(2\pi k_0 r) \\ \times [\cos (2\alpha - (\omega_m + \omega_n)) + \cos (\omega_m - \omega_n)] \\ - \Omega(2\pi k_0 r) [\cos (2\alpha - (\omega_m + \omega_n))] \} \\ * \{ \hat{\mathscr{S}}^m(\mathbf{r}) * \hat{\mathscr{S}}^n(-\mathbf{r}) \}$$

where $\hat{\mathscr{G}}^{m}(\mathbf{r})$ is the 'unitary' spin-density distribution function defined by $\hat{\mathscr{G}}^{m}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathscr{G}_{\parallel}^{m}(\mathbf{r})/S_{\parallel}^{m}$, S_{\parallel}^{m} is the component in the plane of the total projected spin density associated with atom m, ω_{m} is the angle between S_{\parallel}^{m} and axis 1 and \mathbf{x} is the vector distance measured from the vector position \mathbf{u}^{mn} .

It can be similarly shown that

$$Q(\mathbf{u}^{mn} + \mathbf{x}) = \underset{k_0 \to \infty}{\operatorname{Lt}} \pi k_0^2 \{ \Lambda_1(2\pi k_0 r) \\ \times [S_{\perp}^m S_{\perp}^n + S_{\parallel}^m S_{\parallel}^n \cos(\omega_m - \omega_n)] \} \\ * \{ \hat{\mathscr{S}}^m(\mathbf{r}) * \hat{\mathscr{S}}^n(-\mathbf{r}) \}$$

and thus

$$Q'(\mathbf{u}^{mn} + \mathbf{x}) = \underset{k_0 \to \infty}{\operatorname{Lt}} \pi k_0^2 \{ \Lambda_1(2\pi k_0 r) \\ \times [S_{\perp}^m S_{\perp}^n + \frac{1}{2} S_{\parallel}^m S_{\parallel}^n \cos(\omega_m - \omega_n) \\ - S_{\parallel}^m S_{\parallel}^n \cos(2\alpha - (\omega_m + \omega_n))] \\ + \Omega(2\pi k_0 r) S_{\parallel}^m S_{\parallel}^n [\cos(2\alpha - (\omega_m + \omega_n))] \} \\ * \{ \hat{\mathscr{G}}^m(\mathbf{r}) * \hat{\mathscr{G}}^n(-\mathbf{r}) \} .$$

APPENDIX 2

Three-dimensional data

In this case

$$R(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{1-3}^{i} \sum_{1-3}^{j} \overline{\hat{k}_{i}} \hat{k}_{j} * \{\mathscr{S}_{i}(\mathbf{r}) * \mathscr{S}_{j}(\mathbf{r})\}$$

The quantities involved in the summation are of the type

(a)

$$\hat{k}_{i}\hat{k}_{i} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{k}_{i}\hat{k}_{i} \exp(2\pi i r k \cos\theta) k^{2} \sin\theta d\theta dk d\phi$$

$$= \underset{k_{0} \to \infty}{\text{Lt}} \frac{4\pi k_{0}^{3}}{3} \{\cos^{2}\alpha_{i}[\Theta(2\pi k_{0}r) - 2\Phi(2\pi k_{0}r)]$$

$$+ \sin^{2}\alpha_{i}\Phi(2\pi k_{0}r)\}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(z) = \frac{3 (\sin z - z \cos z)}{z^3} \\ \Theta(z) = \frac{3[\mathcal{S}i(z) - \sin z]}{z^3} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathscr{S}i(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\sin y}{y} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

(b)

$$\overline{\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j} = \underset{k_0 \to \infty}{\operatorname{Lt}} \quad \frac{4\pi k_0^3}{3} \cos \alpha_i \cos \alpha_j \{ 3\Theta(2\pi k_0 r) - \Phi(2\pi k_0 r) \}$$

and summing over all nine terms gives

$$R(\mathbf{u}) = \underbrace{\operatorname{Lt}}_{k_0 \to \infty} - \frac{4}{3}\pi k_0^3 \{ (S_1^m \cos \alpha_1 + S_2^m \cos \alpha_2 + S_3^m \cos \alpha_3) \\ \times (S_1^n \cos \alpha_1 + S_2^n \cos \alpha_2 + S_3^n \cos \alpha_3) \\ \times [3\Theta(2\pi k_0 r) - \Phi(2\pi k_0 r)] \\ - \mathbf{S}^m \cdot \mathbf{S}^n \Theta(2\pi k_0 r) \} * \{ \hat{\mathscr{G}}^m(\mathbf{r}) * \hat{\mathscr{G}}^n(-\mathbf{r}) \} .$$

It may similarly be shown that

$$Q(\mathbf{u}) = \underset{k_0 \to \infty}{\operatorname{Lt}} \frac{4}{3} \pi k_0^3 \Phi(2\pi k_0 r) \mathbf{S}^m \cdot \mathbf{S}^n * \{ \hat{\mathscr{S}}^m(\mathbf{r}) * \hat{\mathscr{S}}^n(-\mathbf{r}) \}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Q'(\mathbf{u}^{mn} + \mathbf{x}) &= \underset{k_0 \to \infty}{\text{Lt}} \quad \frac{4}{3}\pi k_0^3 \{ [\Phi(2\pi k_0 r) - \Theta(2\pi k_0 r)] \mathbf{S}^m \cdot \mathbf{S}^n \\ &+ S^m S^n [3\Theta(2\pi k_0 r) - \Phi(2\pi k_0 r)] (\mathbf{\hat{S}}^m \cdot \mathbf{\hat{x}}) \\ &\times (\mathbf{\hat{S}}^n \cdot \mathbf{\hat{x}}) \} * \{ \hat{\mathscr{G}}^m(\mathbf{r}) * \hat{\mathscr{G}}^n(-\mathbf{r}) \} . \end{aligned}$$

References

- LISHER, E. J. & FORSYTH, J. B. (1971). Acta Cryst. A27, 545-549.
- WATSON, R. E. & FREEMAN, A. J. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 27-37.
- WILKINSON, C. (1968). Phil. Mag. 17, 609-621.